



⑫

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

㉑ Application number: 87110214.1

㉓ Int. Cl.4: A 01 N 43/70, A 01 N 25/02
// (A01N43/70, 33:18)

㉒ Date of filing: 01.07.83

㉔ Priority: 07.07.82 IL 66255
21.07.82 IL 66359

㉕ Applicant: AGAN CHEMICAL MANUFACTURERS LTD.,
P.O. Box 262, Ashdod 77102 (IL)

㉖ Date of publication of application: 20.01.88
Bulletin 88/3

㉗ Inventor: Gabe, Julian, 1 Taran Street, Rehovot (IL)
Inventor: Makepeace, Richard J., 21 Freehold Street,
Lower Heyford Oxon (GB)

㉘ Designated Contracting States: AT BE CH DE FR GB IT LI
LU NL SE

㉙ Representative: Laredo, Jack Joseph et al, Elkington
and Fife High Holborn House 52/54 High Holborn,
London, WC1V 6SH (GB)

㉚ A method for combatting blackgrass in cereal crops and compositions therefor.

㉛ A method for obtaining satisfactory weed control including control of blackgrass in cereal crops comprising preemergent application of a mixture of terbutryne and trifluralin having a ratio of 1:2 to 2.5:1 and composition for same, particularly liquid concentrate compositions which do not give crystalline precipitation within 24 hours of emulsification, comprising a) 7.5 to 18% terbutryne, b) 15 to 25% trifluralin, c) 28 to 42% non phytotoxic alicyclic ketone, d) 5 to 15% emulsifier, and e) stabilizing cosolvent selected from 1) 1 to 3% mesityl oxide, or 2) 8 to 15% lower alkyl esters of C-18 unsaturated fatty acids, or 3) a combination of 10 to 20% isophorone and 1 to 3% di-lower alkyl formamide.

A1

EP 0 253 374

A Method for combatting blackgrass in cereal crops and compositions
therefor

The present invention relates to a method for controlling weeds in 5 cereal crops. More specifically, the invention relates to an economical method for achieving wide range weed control in cereals, including control of blackgrass (Alopecurus myosuroides) with a selected synergistic combination of terbutryne and trifluralin. The invention also concerns compositions containing mixtures of 10 terbutryne and trifluralin, particularly liquid compositions for use in controlling blackgrass in cereal crops.

Grain fields such as wheat, barley, rye and oats etc, have often 15 presented problems with respect to effective weed control. This is particularly true for grain fields infested with A. myosuroides commonly known as blackgrass. This weed is not easily controlled by the generally available herbicides, and those herbicides that do kill the weed are often phytotoxic to the cereal crops as well.

20 Furthermore, different varieties or species of cereal crops may behave differently to a given herbicide or mixture of herbicides. Thus, some herbicidal compositions may provide quite good weed control and demonstrate a high degree of crop safety in some 25 varieties or species of grain yet cannot be used satisfactorily in other varieties or species. This of course is a big limitation on the utility and versatility of the herbicide.

Another consideration in weed control in general and of grain 30 fields in particular is the great economic and technical advantage of applying herbicides before the emergence of the cultivated plants. The active herbicide is applied immediately after sowing and the weeds are killed even in the germination. This enables unhindered growth of the cultivated plants which leads to considerable increase in the harvest.

35 For this reason there has been an ever increasing demand for

- 2 -

preemergence herbicides for use in cereal crops which have high selectivity and safety, i.e. the ability to kill undesirable weeds while not injuring or retarding the growth of the crop.

5 A still further consideration in the use of herbicides is the ease or convenience of application by the farmer. Generally, liquid concentrate formulations are most convenient to use, since these can be readily measured and diluted with water. Particularly convenient to use are single package liquid concentrate formulations which require
10 only dilution with water before spraying.

The herbicide, terbutryne, (2-tert-butylamino-4-ethylamino-6-methylthio-1,3,5-triazine) was disclosed for preemergence use in grain fields in British Patent No. 1,168,274 and in fact has been
15 commercialized for this purpose. This herbicide is very effective in eliminating the stubborn weed, blackgrass, from cereal crops, including winter wheat. However, it is usable only in fields having a low population of the blackgrass, below 60 flowering heads per square meter because of the low application rate tolerated by the crops. In fields
20 having higher populations of this weed the results are variable and not sufficiently effective at the recommended rates of 2.8 kg/ha. At higher rates of application the herbicide can adversely affect the cereal crop itself.

25 More recent selective herbicides for this particular application, i.e. grain crops, have been claimed, for example, in British Patent 1,255,258. Thus, chlortoluron, (N-4-methyl-3-chlorophenyl N',N'-dimethyl urea), and isoproturon, (N-4-isopropylphenyl-N,N'-dimethyl urea), are considered to be among the better herbicides available today for the control
30 of high populations of blackgrass. These herbicides are rather expensive and there exists a definite need for a more cost effective method of obtaining satisfactory and safe weed control in cereal crops.

35 Trifluralin (2,6-dinitro-N,N-di-n-propyl-4-trifluoromethylaniline) is one of the most successful commercial herbicides to have come unto the market. It was first introduced in the early 1960's and has found ever increasing use in the battle against undesirable weeds. This

herbicide has a wide spectrum of activity and is primarily applied as a preemergence herbicide by soil incorporation. It is registered for use in a broad range of crops such as cereal grains, soybeans, cotton, beans, tomatoes, peppers and orchards just to mention a few, 5 and has become a commodity herbicide in terms of tonnage and cost. However, trifluralin is not successful in destroying blackgrass, and it has therefore, not been recommended for this application.

In order to broaden the weed killing spectrum of herbicides, mixtures 10 of different herbicides have been suggested. Thus, trifluralin has been combined with numerous other herbicides to give an array of combinations, many of which show significant synergistic activity.

British Patent No. 1,213,074 discloses combinations of 2,6-dinitro- 15 aniline herbicides (of which trifluralin is the most outstanding example) with halophenoxyalkanoic acids or carboxyl derivatives thereof.

U.S. Patent No. 3,449,111 discloses a mixture of 2,6-dinitroaniline 20 herbicides and alkyl N,N-dialkyl thiocarbamates.

British Patent No. 1,460,303 discloses the synergistic combination of 2,6-dinitroaniline herbicides with 3-methylthio-4-amino-6- substituted-1,2,4-triazin-5-ones for use in soybeans.

25 European Patent No. 9716 claims synergistic herbicidal compositions for use in cereal crops containing trifluralin and isoproturon.

British Patent No. 1,348,428 suggests combinations of 2,6-dinitroaniline 30 herbicides with a) 5-halopyridazones, b) urea herbicides, c) s-triazine herbicides, and d) certain carbamates for protecting crop plants such as cotton, soybean, rape, rice and beets. Terbutryne is not disclosed by this patent. Nor does the patent recommend use of its combination for cereal crops, and certainly not for use against blackgrass.

35 British Patent No. 1,473,105 describes specifically formulated liquid herbicidal composition of trifluralin and linuron which is stated to

be particularly useful in soil preemergence applications for cereals.

Herbicidal mixtures containing terbutryne as one of the active ingredients are also known. Thus, for example, British Patent No.

5 1,251,013 discloses the combinations of terbutryne and nitrofen as useful for controlling weeds in grain crops such as wheat, barley, rye, oats and maize. While this combination may be interesting theoretically it is not practical since nitrofen has been withdrawn from use for toxicological reasons.

10 Similarly, French patent No. 2,438,970 claims the combinations terbutryne-neburon and terbutryne - nitrofen. The latter is stated to control weeds in winter wheat including Alopecurus myosuroides.

15 British Patent No. 1,435,694 discloses mixtures of trietazine and terbutryne as selective herbicides and French Patent No. 2,206,048 claims synergistic combinations of several s-triazines: terbutryne, prometryne and atrazine or simazine.

20 British Patent Publication 2,014,853 discloses the combination of terbutryne with molinate (S-ethyl-N,N-hexamethylene-thiocarbamate) for combatting weeds in cereals, primarily monocotyledons, particularly in winter cereals.

25 French Patent publications Nos. 2,432,838 and 2,414,870 disclose synergistic three or four component herbicidal compositions based on 1) nitrophenyl halophenyl ethers 2) 2,6-dinitroanilines and/or 3) a urea herbicides and/or 4) s-triazine herbicides. Specifically exemplified are combinations of nitrofen + trifluralin + terbutryne and trifluralin + linuron + terbutryne. In addition to the problem of toxicity of nitrofen as mentioned above, three component mixtures are more complex to work with and according to the patent hundreds if not thousands of mixture combinations are possible. None of the mixtures were exemplified against blackgrass and from the large number of possibilities, it is impossible to determine which, if any, 3 way combination would be suitable for this specific application. Furthermore, linuron is rather expensive and makes such a composition too costly.

While numerous combinations of herbicides are known, most of these provide only the combined effect of each individual herbicide and do not exhibit any unusual synergistic effect on the part of the mixture.

5 Such combinations may be applied separately or as tank mixes or as single package formulations. However, separate application of different herbicides or mixing different herbicidal formulations immediately prior to use are not desirable, because of time, labor and particularly the possibility of error in dosage accuracy and
10 formulation incompatibility. Therefore, single package formulations are most desired.

To this end, special efforts have been made to prepare liquid concentrates from mixtures of herbicides which differ in physical
15 and chemical properties and are thus incompatible in conventionally formulated systems.

For example, in the case of the combination of trifluralin with linuron, which has significant commercial importance, British Patent
20 No. 1,473,105 mentioned above, proposed the use of acyclic ketones as special solvents to compatibilize the two herbicides in a single formulation. British Patent Publication 2,077,104 solved the same problem by using acetophenone as compatibilizing solvent.

25 French Patent No. 2,437,786 and its corresponding German Offen. 2,900,768 describe a method for preparing water emulsifiable liquid herbicide concentrates from mixtures of active ingredients having different physical-chemical properties, specifically mixtures of a) dinitroaniline herbicides and b) triazine herbicides and mixtures
30 of a) dinitroanilines b) ureas and c)s-triazines, by using dialkyl or alkyl formamides e.g. dimethyl formamide, as compatibilizing solvent.

These patents disclose, among others, formulation of a mixture of trifluralin with terbutryne. However, there is no disclosure or
35 suggestion that this combination is particularly effective against

blackgrass. In fact, from the little disclosure there is as to utility for the combination, it would appear that it is recommended for use where each of the individual herbicides can be used separately.

5 The invention of these patents resides exclusively in the method of formulating the compounds into a single water emulsifiable liquid composition.

We have discovered that a mixture of terbutryne with trifluralin in ratios of from 1:2 to 2.5:1 and preferably from 1.2:2 to 1:1, 10 when applied preemergence to cereal crops at a rate of 1.0 to 4.5 and preferably 1.5 to 3.5 kg. active ingredients per hectare, gives excellent weed control including control of blackgrass, even at high populations of the weed. This is particularly so in winter varieties of wheat and barley.

15 Neither of these herbicides alone destroys blackgrass satisfactorily at high population rates, while at the same time avoiding injury to the cereal crops. It is only the combination of these two materials in the specified ratios and application rates that provide satisfactory results.

20 A further advantage of this particular combination is its wide range of applicability in most varieties of winter wheat and barley. This is not the case with the presently used herbicide chlortoluron, which causes injury to some varieties of cereal crops.

25 The mechanism of this synergism is not clear, but it appears that the presence of trifluralin increases the effectiveness of smaller quantities of terbutryne in destroying high infestation of blackgrass and also increases the tolerance of cereal crops against injury by terbutryne. In effect this is a very highly selective herbicide 30 combination for cereal crops in fields with high blackgrass populations. Based on the price structure of herbicides today, the inventive combination offers satisfactory weed control in cereal crops, including blackgrass control, comparable to that provided by chlortoluron, on a much more cost effective basis.

100-100-100

The mixtures of this invention can be applied either separately or together. They can be in the form of wettable powders, solutions, emulsifiable concentrates, flowable concentrates, twin packs or other formulated forms as are common in the industry.

5 Preferably they are applied as a single liquid formulation.

Due to the differences in the physical and chemical properties of terbutryne and trifluralin, these herbicides are not readily formulated into stable liquid concentrates. Specific formulations 10 have, therefore, been developed using special solvents. This is especially the case when one desires liquid concentrate compositions having long term low temperature stability enabling storage of the liquid concentrate during cold winters which are common in many parts of Europe.

15 It is, therefore, a further object of the present invention to provide liquid concentrate compositions containing as active herbicides terbutryne and trifluralin having good emulsifiability, emulsion stability, and low temperature stability under the conditions which they will normally be transported, stored and 20 used.

According to this aspect of the invention, there is provided a 25 herbicidal liquid concentrate composition which does not give crystalline precipitation on standing for 24 hours at -7°C, and which is readily emulsifiable to provide an emulsion which does not give crystalline precipitation with 24 hours from emulsification, comprising:

- a) 7.5 to 18% 2-tert-butylamino-4-ethylamino-6-methylthio-1,3,5-triazine
- b) 15 to 25% 2,6-dinitro-N,N-di-n-propyl-4-trifluoromethyl aniline,
- c) 28-42% non-phytotoxic alicyclic ketone
- d) 5-15% of an emulsifier

8

e) stabilizing cosolvent selected from one of the following:

- 1) 1 to 3% mesityl oxide, or
- 2) 8 to 15% lower alkyl esters of C-18 unsaturated fatty acids, or
- 5 3) a combination of 10 to 20% isophorone and 1 to 3% di-lower alkyl formamide.

10 The active herbicides may be present in the concentrate composition in the range stated above but preferably in the order of 12 to 16% terbutryne and 18 to 22% trifluralin, most preferably 15% and 20% respectively. All percentages are on a weight basis.

15 Alicyclic ketones which may be used are the cycloalkyl and cycloalkenyl ketones, especially cyclohexanone and cyclohexenone and their lower alkyl derivatives such as 2-methyl-cyclohexanone, 3-methylcyclohexanone, 3-methyl cyclohex-2-en-1-one, 3,5-dimethyl cyclohex-3-en-1-one, 2,6-dimethyl cyclohexanone, 2,2,6-trimethyl cyclohexanone, 3,3,5,5,-tetramethyl cyclohexanone and 3,5,5-trimethylcyclohex-2-en-1-one. Cyclohexanone is most preferred.

20 In addition to special solvents, such liquid concentrate formulations also contain emulsifiers.

25 The emulsifiers are generally anionic or nonionic emulsifiers, preferably of a mixture of these. Such mixtures are commonly used in the art of pesticide formulation. Examples of suitable anionic emulsifiers are alkali and alkaline earth metal salts of the alkyl and aryl sulfonates, alkyl benzene sulfates and sulfosuccinates such as octyl and nonyl phenyl sulfate, dodecyl benzene sulfonate, isopropyl naphthalene sulfonates, dioctyl and dinonyl esters of sulfosuccinic acids. The preferred anionic emulsifiers are the calcium salts of alkyl benzyl sulfates and sulfonates, most preferably calcium alkyl benzene sulfates.

Examples of suitable non-ionic emulsifiers are fatty acid esters of polyoxyethylene sorbitan, alkylarylpolyethoxy ethanols such as octyl or nonylphenyl polyethoxy ethanol, glyceride esters such as diglyceryl monooleate and ethoxy alkylphenols and cresols such as 5 ethoxylates nonylphenol. The preferred nonionic emulsifiers are the ethoxylated alkylphenols such as ethoxylated nonylphenol.

These emulsifiers are generally mixed in ratios of anionic to non-
10 ionic from 3:7 to 7:3 and preferably about 1:1. The total amount
of emulsifier is usually in the order of 5 to 15% of the liquid
concentrate on a weight for weight basis. We have found that about
10% of a 1:1 emulsifier blend gives excellent liquid concentrates.

15 The lower alkyl ester of C-18 unsaturated fatty acid used as co-
solvent, may be any C₁ to C₄ alkyl ester of C-18 unsaturated fatty
acid such as oleic acid, linoleic acid, tall oil fatty acids just
to mention a few. Preferably, the methyl and ethyl esters are
contemplated and most preferably methyloleate.

20 O-lower alkyl formamides suitable as cosolvents are the C₁ to C₄
dialkyl formamides, preferably dimethyl and diethyl formamides.
Most preferred is dimethyl formamide.

25 To demonstrate the effect of the inventive combination the following
experiments were conducted.

Example 1

30 At Earl Colne, Essex England, fields infested with 228 heads per
square meter of blackgrass were sown with winter wheat during the
autumn of 1981. The fields were treated preemergence with a 1.5:2
mixture of terbutryne and trifluralin at rates of 1.7 kg/ha and
2.1 kg/ha active ingredients. In both cases the reduction of heads
of blackgrass was 96 percent compared with an untreated field.

Example 2

Field tests in winter wheat conducted during the autumn of 1981
at Houghton, Notts England, on plots having a blackgrass infestation
of 116 heads per square meter, gave the following results:

| | Composition | Terbutryne kg/ha | Trifluralin kg/ha | % Reduction of blackgrass heads | % Damage wheat |
|----|-----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| 5 | A | 1.5 | 2.0 | 80 | None |
| | B | 1.8 | 2.4 | 81 | None |
| | C | 2.0 | 1.0 | 64 | None |
| | D | 2.0 | 1.2 | 75 | None |
| | E | 2.5 | 1.0 | 80 | None |
| | F | 2.5 | 1.2 | 80 | None |
| 10 | Chlortoluron (3.6 kg/ha) | - | - | 87 | None |

Example 3

Plots of wheat were sown in Cottingham, Lincs England, during the autumn of 1981 and treated preemergence with mixtures of terbutryne and trifluralin. The plots had 267 heads of blackgrass per square meter prior to treatment. The following results were obtained.

| | Composition | Terbutryne kg/ha | Trifluralin kg/ha. | % Reduction of Blackgrass heads | % Damage of wheat |
|----|-----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| 20 | A | 1.5 | 2.0 | 89 | None |
| | B | 1.8 | 2.4 | 94 | None |
| | C | 2.0 | 1.0 | 72 | None |
| | D | 2.0 | 1.2 | 70 | None |
| | E | 2.5 | 1.0 | 83 | None |
| | F | 2.5 | 1.2 | 91 | None |
| 25 | Chlortoluron (3.6 kg/ha) | - | - | 88 | None |

These experiments demonstrate that the mixtures of terbutryne-trifluralin at the ratios used, control blackgrass without adversely affecting the wheat crop.

5 The mixtures of this invention control weeds by root action and work best under good growing conditions. They will remain active in the soil for several months after application when initial weed control has been successful.

Liquid concentrate compositions of terbutryne and trifluralin were prepared by blending the ingredients outlined in Table 1 in a waring blender after warming to 60°C. All parts are by weight.

Table 1

| | Compound | Example 4 | Example 5 | Example 6 | Example A | Example B | Example C |
|----|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 5 | Terbutryne | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 12 |
| | Trifluralin | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 24 |
| 10 | Cyclohexanone | 32 | 32 | 40 | 49.8 | - | - |
| | Isopherone | 15.8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Methyloleate | - | - | 10 | - | - | - |
| 15 | Dimethylformamide | 2 | - | - | - | 49.8 | 12 |
| | Mesityloxide | - | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| 20 | Xylene | - | - | - | - | - | 37 |
| | EL523+EL524 ⁽¹⁾ | 15 | 15 | - | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| | EMULSOGEN EL + T ⁽²⁾ | - | - | 15 | - | - | - |
| | ETHOCCELL ⁽³⁾ | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | - |

(1) Blends of calcium alkylphenol sulfate and nonionic emulsifiers having 2/3 active ingredients and 1/3 inert diluent, product of Atlas Europol.

25 (2) A 1:1 blend of anionic and nonionic emulsifiers, products of American Hoechst Corp.

(3) Ethoxylated cellulose emulsion stabilizer, a product of Dow Chemicals.

30 The resulting compositions were tested for emulsifiability by diluting 5 ml of the liquid concentrate with 95 ml water and shaking well.

- 12 -

Low temperature stability was measured by placing samples of the liquid concentrate compositions in a freezer for 24 hours maintained at -7°C. The compositions were then checked to determine whether any crystallization of active ingredients had occurred.

5 Emulsions prepared from liquid concentrate compositions of Table 1 were tested after 24 hours by passing through a 100 mesh sieve to determine if any crystallization had occurred. The results are reported in Table 2.

TABLE 2

| TESTS | Example 4 | Example 5 | Example 6 | Example A | Example B | Example C |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1)Emulsifiability | Good | Good | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| 2)Low temp. stability at -7°C | Good | Good | Good | Poor(a) | Good | Poor(a) |
| 3)Emulsion Stability 24 hours | Good | Good | Good | Poor(b) | Poor(b) | Poor(b) |
| (a) | terbutryne crystals precipitated | | | | | |
| (b) | trifluralin and terbutryne crystals precipitated | | | | | |

From Tables 1 and 2 it is evident that only Examples 4,5 and 6 of this invention retained emulsion stability and low temperature stability compared to similar compositions specifically taught in the prior art or obvious therefrom.

0253374

- 13 -

Some of the weeds controlled by the inventive method are:

| | | |
|----|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Annual meadow grass | <u>Poa annua</u> |
| | Rough meadow grass | <u>Poa trivialis</u> |
| 5 | Black bindweed | <u>Polygonum convolvulus</u> |
| | Charlock | <u>Sinapis arvensis</u> |
| | Common chickweed | <u>Stellaria media</u> |
| | Common Field-speedwell | <u>Veronica agrestis</u> |
| | Common Fumitory | <u>Fumaria officinalis</u> |
| 10 | Common Mouse-ear | <u>Cerastium arvense</u> |
| | Common Orache | <u>Atriplex patula</u> |
| | Common Poppy | <u>Papaver spp.</u> |
| | Corn Chamomile | <u>Anthemis Arvensis</u> |
| | Fat Hen | <u>Chenopodium album</u> |
| 15 | Fool's Parsley | <u>Aethusa Cynapium</u> |
| | Groundsel | <u>Senecio vulgaris</u> |
| | Henbit dead-nettle | <u>Lamium amplexicaule</u> |
| | Ivy-leaved Speedwell | <u>Veronica Heteraeifolia</u> |
| | Parsley Piert | <u>Aphanes arvensis</u> |
| 20 | Pinappleweed | <u>Matricaria matricarioides</u> |
| | Red Dead-nettle | <u>Lamium purpureum</u> |
| | Redshank | <u>Polygonum persicaria</u> |
| | Scentless Mayweed | <u>Matricaria maritimum</u> |
| | Shepherd's Purse | <u>Capsella bursa-pastoris</u> |
| 25 | Annual Nettle | <u>Urtica urens</u> |
| | Wild Radish | <u>Raphanus raphanistrum</u> |
| | Venus's-looking-glass | <u>Specularia perfoliata</u> |
| | Blackgrass | <u>Alopecurus myosuroides</u> |
| | Cleavers | <u>Galium aparine</u> |
| 30 | Flaxweed | <u>Linum</u> |
| | Knotweed | <u>Polygonum aviculare</u> |

CLAIMS

1. A herbicidal liquid concentrate composition characterised in that it does not give crystalline precipitation on standing for 24 hours at -7°C, and is readily emulsifiable to provide an emulsion which does not give crystalline precipitation within 24 hours from emulsification, comprising :

- a) 7.5 to 18% 2-tert-butylamino-4-ethylamino-6-methylthio-1,3,5-triazine,
- b) 15 to 25% 2,6-dinitro-N,N-di-n-propyl-4-trifluoromethyl-aniline,
- c) 28 to 42% non-phytotoxic alicyclic ketone,
- d) 5 to 15% emulsifier,
- e) Stabilizing cosolvent selected from one of the following:
 - 1) 1 to 3% mesityl oxide, or
 - 2) 8 to 15% lower alkyl esters of C-18 unsaturated fatty acids, or
 - 3) a combination of 10-20% isophorone and 1-3% di-lower alkyl formamide.

2. A composition as claimed in Claim 1 characterised in that the emulsifier is a mixture of anionic and nonionic emulsifiers.

3. A composition as claimed in Claims 1 and 2 characterised in that it comprises:

- a) 15% 2-tert-butylamino-4-ethylamino-6-methylthio-1,3,5-triazine and
- b) 20% 2,6-dinitro-N,N-di-n-propyl-4-trifluoromethylaniline.

4. A composition as claimed in Claims 1 to 3, wherein the nonphytotoxic alicyclic ketone is cyclohexanone.

- 15 -

5. A composition as claimed in Claims 1 to 4, characterised in that the cycloalkyl ketone is present in the range of 30 to 33% and cosolvent is selected from :

- a) mesityl oxide, or a mixture of
- b) 14 to 16% isophorone and 1 to 2% dimethylformamide.

6. A composition as claimed in Claims 1 to 4, characterised in that the cycloalkyl ketone is present in the range of 35 to 42% and the cosolvent is methyl oleate.

7. A method for combatting weeds in wheat crops characterised by applying to the locus of the crops an emulsion made from the composition of Claims 1 to 6 in sufficient amount to destroy the weeds while not damaging the crop.

0253374



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application number

EP 87 11 0214

| DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| Category | Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages | Relevant to claim | CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int. Cl.4) |
| X,D | FR-A-2 437 786 (S.I.P.C.A.M.) * Claims; page 7, lines 6,7; page 8, lines 19,20; page 10, formulation 1; page 11, lines 15-25 * ----- | 1-7 | A 01 N 43/70 A 01 N 25/02 // A 01 N 43/70 A 01 N 33:18) |
| | | | TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4) |
| | | | A 01 N |
| | The present search report has been drawn up for all claims | | |
| Place of search THE HAGUE | | Date of completion of the search 27-10-1987 | Examiner DECORTE D. |
| CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS | | | |
| X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document | | T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document | |